

UNITED STA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

٠, .	Washington, D.C. 20231	•	LC
	i		<u> </u>

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	Α	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
18/949,988	10/14/97	YUNG		K . PD	-96315
_		DMC	92/0909	E	XAMINER
ATENT DOCKE	T ADMINIST		7270909	DINH,T	
IUGHES ELECT	RONICS COR	PORATION		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LDG. 001, M	.S. A109 200 N. SEI	PULVEDA	BLVD.	3644	10
L SEGUNDO,			And the second	DATE MAILED:	19/09/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applite_it(s

08/949,988

Yung et al

Examine

T. Dinh

Group Art Unit 3644



THE	PER	FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 🗌	xpires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) 🔀	xpires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final ejection.	
	date o	ision of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of any the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
	Appe period	or response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
App but	olican is NO	response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Aug 30, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
X	The p	posed amendment(s):	
	Χv	be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	v	not be entered because:	
		ney raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		ney raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		ney are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the sues for appeal.	
		ney present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NO	:	-
			-
	□ A -	licant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	-
	- New		-
	New sepa	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a	-
	New sepa The for a	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a e, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition	-
	New separather for a the the terms.	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a e, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because:	-
	New separation and the separatio	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a group filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because: Idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by miner in the final rejection. Posses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	-
	New separation of the formal the formal the formal Clair	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a e, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because: Idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by miner in the final rejection.	-
	New separate for a the the term of the ter	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a e, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because: Idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by miner in the final rejection. Posses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): allowed:	-
	New separation of the for a Clair Clair The	proposed or amended claims	-
	New separation of the for a Clair Clair The	would be allowable if submitted in a e, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because: Idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by miner in the final rejection. Iposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Inallowed: Inalowed: Inallowed: Inallowed: Inallowed: Inallowed: Inallowed:	-
	New separate for a the for a Clair Clair The Note	proposed or amended claims	1/19